



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,464	09/26/2000	Takeshi Suzuki	P/3541-8 3607	
7590 12/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen LLP			VILLECCO, JOHN M	
1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/670,464	SUZUKI, TAKESHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John M. Villecco	2612			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 June 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 2,5,7,10,12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 2,5,7,10,15,16,19 and 20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12,14,17 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant has amended the claims to incorporate subject matter that was indicated as being allowable in the previous office action dated March 16, 2004. The amendment leaves claims 2, 5, 7, 10, 12, and 14-20 pending. The amendment appears to place the independent claims 15, 16, 19, and 20 into condition for allowance. However there are significant 112, 2nd paragraph problems with claims 17 and 18. Please see the discussion of claims 17 and 18 below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 12, 14, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the changing means" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the attendant information" in lines 10 and 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the four operation sections" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,464

Art Unit: 2612

- 7. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the one of the upper, lower, left and right edges" in line
- 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 18 has problems similar to the ones listed above in the last limitation of the claim.
- 9. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected based upon their dependency to claims 17 and 18, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 12, 14, 17, and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 17 and 18, the primary reason for indication of allowable subject matter is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest shifting the position of the attendant information along the one of the upper, lower, left, and right edges in accordance with the user's operation of that one of the four operation sections, which corresponds to the one of the upper, lower, left and right edges.

- 12. Claims 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 16, 19, and 20 are allowed.
- 13. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 15, 16, 19, and 20, the primary reason for allowance is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest shifting the position of the attendant information along the one of the upper, lower, left, and right edges in accordance with the user's operation of that one

of the four operation sections, which corresponds to the one of the upper, lower, left and right edges.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2612

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"; for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John M. Villecco November 29, 2004

PAING MOE
PAINARY EXAMINER